Operationalizing Coordination of Mega-projects - a Workpractice Perspective

Joakim Lilliesköld

The Royal Institute of Technology joakiml@ics.kth.se

Lars Taxén

Linköping University lars.taxen@telia.com

Operationalizing Coordination of Mega-projects - a Workpractice Perspective, IRNOP 2006, Joakim Lilliesköld, Lars Taxén

Outline

- Challenges in mega-project coordination
- Weber, Rambo and Gaia projects
- Coordination needs
- Integration Centric Development
 - Anatomy-Based Engineering
 - Domain Construction Process
- Empirical results
- Summary and discussion





Change

- Development lead-times
- Coordination and dependencies
- Geographical distribution
- Commitments and responsibilities
- Competence
- Quality

Operationalizing Coordination of Mega-projects - a Workpractice Perspective, IRNOP 2006, Joakim Lilliesköld, Lars Taxén

Third generation of mobile systems, 3G

"The total technical changes being implemented in this project are enormous. Such changes are needed in order for Ericsson to get a world-leading product first to market. Using traditional methods then the scope of change implemented in single steps will be too large and can not be managed."

Total project manager, Ericsson, Dec 1999

Managing mega-projects

Operationalizing Coordination of Mega-projects - a Workpractice Perspective, IRNOP 2006, Joakim Lilliesköld, Lars Taxén

Project typology

- Weber
 - Management by breaking down the task into small pieces
 - More detailed planning more control
 - Preferred approach in current management literature

Rambo

- Rigorous planning provides an illusion of being in control
- Development driven by integration and verification
- Coordination done by a small team around the total project manager

• Gaia

- Similar to Rambo
- Project groups organize and coordinate themselves
- Coordination at proper level rather than at the top

Coordination aspects

- Agility
 - The need to manage changes during the project
- Formality
 - Formal procedures for coordination or informal "on the spot"
- Distribution
 - Central or distributed coordination decisions

• Shared understanding

- The need for all participants to understand "the whole picture"
- Operational
 - The need for coordination specific support (methods and tools)

Operationalizing Coordination of Mega-projects - a Workpractice Perspective, IRNOP 2006, Joakim Lilliesköld, Lars Taxén

Coordination needs versus project types

	Weber	Rambo	Gaia
Agility	Low	High	High
Formality	Formal	Formal	Informal
Distribution	Marginal	Marginal	Essential
Shared understanding	Marginal	Essential	Essential
Operational	Standard PM tools	Standard PM tools	High demands on CM tools



Definition of Integration Centric Development

To plan, verify, produce, install and industrialize in the same order as the completed system is "coming alive"

- System integration as soon as possible
- Utilize parallelism as much as possible
- Focus on dependencies
- Incremental (step-wise) development

Operationalizing Coordination of Mega-projects - a Workpractice Perspective, IRNOP 2006, Joakim Lilliesköld, Lars Taxén

Objectives

- Everybody works from the same image of the system
 - same view, same plan
- Everyone gets access to the same information simultaneously
- Everyone is responsible for their contribution
- "Soft Entries, but Hard Exits"
- High moral
- Decisions are focused on the final target







Product structure - not enough

- Purpose management of product content
- Shows only resources, not their dependencies
 - the context of each resource
- Unsuitable for development planning and control

The anatomy

- A one page illustration
 - not hundreds of text pages

• Functional dependencies and independencies

- between resources in the system
- from prerequisites to customer needs
- how to 'breath-life-in-a-system'
- An architectural view
- Re-usable
- Basis for planning and controlling the development
- Complements the product structure
 - not a replacement





Anatomy definition

- Achieving shared understanding of how the system works
- Functional dependencies
- System architects
- Mindset: If you "power-on" what happen then and then..
 - Repeat the question until you reach the end functionality





Increment planning

- Define increments
 - Developed, verified and integrated as units
- Distribution of increments
- Early system integration
 - early warnings of major faults
- System integrators, system testers, project managers





Integration planning

- Plan and control the project
- Project managers
- Assigning projects to increments
- Scheduling and re-planning
- Progress control
 - Green On Plan, Yellow Warning, Red Off Track
- Deliveries from whom, when
- Dependencies btw subprojects
- Domino effects
- Input to project plan



You need two types of plans

- Anatomy based
 - For everyone to understand the system and the status in the project
- Detailed plans on all levels
 - To keep track of the necessary logistics

Operationalizing Coordination of Mega-projects - a Workpractice Perspective, IRNOP 2006, Joakim Lilliesköld, Lars Taxén

The Coordination Construction process









Tool support

TRAM Adantati

Document: Done

💥 eMatrix HTML Query - Netscape <u>File Edit View Go Communicator Help</u>

a -**b**-

_ 🗆 ×

•

ADM-Workpackage Relationships eMatrix HTML Table Write Created 2000-08-04 16.34.17 by Anita Behle							
Object	Slogan	Dependent WPs	Connected Blocks	sWPG	IPs	Owner	
Work Package, B08a/02,	BASE - AD4	B08a/03, B08b/01, F03a/01, C06f/01	BEACC	B08a	189/159 41-2/FCPW 101 28	SP- Harvey: EED	
Work Package, B08a/03,	BASE - AD5	ContainerWP - LSV10 EED-Harvey, B08b/01, F03a/01	BEACC	B08a	189/159 41-2/FCPW 101 28	SP- Harvey: EED	
Work Package, B08c/02,	BT - AD4	B08c/03, B08b/01, F03a/01	BTAAL2, BTCM, BTDA	B08c	187/159 41-2/FCPW 101 28	SP- Harvey: EED	
Work Package, B08c/03,	BT - AD5	B08c/04, B08b/01, F03a/01	BTAAL2, BTCM	B08c	187/159 41-2/FCPW 101 28	SP- Harvey: EED	
Work Package, B08d/01,	BR - AD1	B08d/02	TRAREB	B08d	67/159 41-2/FCPW 101 28, 121/159 41-2/FCPW 101 28	SP- Harvey: EED	
Work Package, B08d/02,	BR - AD3	B08d/03	ANDP, BADA, DA, RA, RODA	B08d	67/159 41-2/FCPW 101 28, 121/159 41-2/FCPW 101 28	SP- Harvey: EED	
Work Package, B08d/03,	BR - AD4	B08d/04, B08b/01, F03a/01	ANFH, TRACO, TRAREB, TRACOB	B08d	67/159 41-2/FCPW 101 28, 121/159 41-2/FCPW 101 28	SP- Harvey: EED	
Work Package, B08d/04,	BR - AD5	B08d/05, B08b/01, F03a/01	TRAREB	B08d	67/159 41-2/FCPW 101 28, 121/159 41-2/FCPW 101 28	SP- Harvey: EED	
Work Package, C04a/02,	SSF Charging Adaptation to OIP and PCDSE. Rest of	C04a/01	SHCHM	C04a	131/159 41-2/FCPW 101 28, 185/159 41-2/FCPW 101 28	SP- CHS: LMF	

r

dP 🔝





Agile planning

"If a trouble report has been found in this block, you have to make sure that in previous versions or later releases, that you correct the same fault. Then, ok how the hell are we going to follow up on this? And then, we entered an extra measure attribute to the block type. We really used that, and yes, that was put in at a later stage. It is implemented within 5 minutes and also rolled out in the same speed almost."

Operationalizing Coordination of Mega-projects - a Workpractice Perspective, IRNOP 2006, Joakim Lilliesköld, Lars Taxén

Informal coordination

"I think that the tool allows us to have a better understanding of impacts of own part of the impacts it could have on other parts and the other way around. The need for coordination was more or less identified by the tool. They could equally see, OK I'm working on this work package which are the other work packages involved, and so on."

Project manager, 3G development

Distributed coordination

"Yes, what is the great benefit is that you have one common place where all the project area stored the information. It means that a lot of the coordination, which previously went via the main project, now can go directly."

Project manager, 3G development

Operationalizing Coordination of Mega-projects - a Workpractice Perspective, IRNOP 2006, Joakim Lilliesköld, Lars Taxén

Communal understanding

"Before, every role maintained a piece of information it was responsible for. But in the end [...], they should build an overall picture and what Matrix enables us to get, this full picture, also to cross the border and see "aha this is information somebody else in another role thinks is connected to this one" that is a complete picture of the overall view. That is the main benefit I think."

Methods and tools coordinator, 3G development

Operational

"Especially for the execution part I think we would not have been able to run this project without the tool. If you simply look at the number of work packages, the number of products that we have delivered, if we would have to maintain that manually, that would have been a sheer disaster."

Project manager, 3G development

Operationalizing Coordination of Mega-projects - a Workpractice Perspective, IRNOP 2006, Joakim Lilliesköld, Lars Taxén

Discussion

Risks and limitations

- Too much focus on functional dependencies
- More persons form different disciplines involved from the start
- Ambition to do too much in parallel
- Resistance to work according to the increment plan
 "I'll start with the most difficult parts!"
- Enhanced visibility not always appreciated
- Implementation of tool support may become fragmented
- "Daily build" of tool support a strain for users
- Applied fully so far at Ericsson only
 - Others are beginning to catch up

Operationalizing Coordination of Mega-projects - a Workpractice Perspective, IRNOP 2006, Joakim Lilliesköld, Lars Taxén

Future research

• Grounding the ICD approach theoretically

Conclusions

- A workpractice approach towards coordination of mega-projects
- Focus on managing dependencies and constructing shared understanding
 - The Anatomy Based Engineering process
 - The Coordination Construction process
- Applied in coordinating extraordinary complex development projects in the telecom industry